

REMARKS

The Office Action dated August 5, 2005 has been fully considered by Applicant. Applicant hereby respectfully requests the Examiner to reconsider the rejection.

Claim 1 has been currently amended. Claims 2-10 have been previously presented.

Claims 1-10 have been rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by United States Patent No. 6,034,689 to White et al. Applicant believes that currently amended claim 1 is novel over the '689 White et al patent and, therefore, respectfully requests reconsideration of the rejection.

Claim 1 has been currently amended to include a television system comprising a broadcast data receiver, a display screen, and an internet access capability that when accessed allows the opening and retention of at least two windows of data for display, each window displaying a live page from an internet site and an information display being generated by the system when the user selects and opens a window for viewing, the information display includes a bibliography of data for each of the windows which are live and selectable for viewing at that instant and the information display is selectable by the user for viewing. Applicant believes that currently amended claim 1 is novel over the '680 White et al patent and respectfully requests reconsideration of the rejection.

The '689 White et al patent discloses a web browser for a television in which an information display can be provided including scaled-down images of web pages available for user selection. However, the scaled-down images of the web pages represent the most recently visited web pages, that is, they represent browser history and not, as in Applicant's invention, images representing live internet web pages. Applicant's web pages are live and currently available on the internet. Therefore, Applicant believes that currently amended claim 1 is novel over the '689 patent to White et al.

One advantage of Applicant's invention is that up-to-date information is displayed rather than historical information, as in the '689 White et al patent. A disadvantage of the '689 patent is that certain pop-ups, such as login screens, may not operate if they were simply retrieved from browser history according to the '689 White et al patent, as they are typically time-locked for a specific period or session. However, in Applicant's invention these pop-ups would be readily available to the user. As such, Applicant's invention is novel and inventive over the prior art.


In addition, Applicant's invention is directed toward a television system providing internet browser capabilities provided as part of a broadcast data receiver apparatus, typically but not necessarily exclusively, connected to or formed as part of a television set, through the screen of which the use of the browser can be controlled and displayed to the viewer. Whereas in the '689 White et al patent, a web-accessing client-server system is provided which includes client communication with at least one server through a proxying server and the client uses a standard television display device to display the image.

Applicant believes that currently amended claim 1 is novel over the '689 White et al patent and respectfully requests reconsideration of the rejection.

It is believed that the application is now in condition for allowance and such action is earnestly solicited. If any further issues remain, a telephone conference with the Examiner is requested. If any additional fees are required, please charge Deposit Account No. 08-1500.

HEAD, JOHNSON & KACHIGIAN

Respectfully submitted,



Mark G. Kachigian, Registration No. 32,840
Head, Johnson & Kachigian
228 West 17th Place, Tulsa, Oklahoma 74119
(918) 587-2000
Attorneys for Applicant

Date: 7 November 2005